

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 080011

10

ORIGIN EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 DODE-00 ISO-00 TRSY-11 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10

L-03 NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 SAJ-01

SS-20 NSC-07 OMB-01 IO-14 OIC-04 /128 R

66610

DRAFTED BY: OSD/DDRE:COL.EDWARDS/EUR/RPM:EREHFELD

APPROVED BY: OSD/I AND L:MR CUFFE

OSD/DDRE:MR BASIL

OSD/ISA:MR MILLER

----- 019241

R 191924Z APR 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO

INFO USCINCEUR

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 080011

C O R R E C T E D C O P Y (PARA II (B) LINE 17 OMITTED)

E.O.11652 GDS

TAGS:MILI, NATO

SUBJECT: GUIDANCE FOR CNAD MEETING, APRIL 24-26, 1974

REF: AC/259-A/13

BEGIN SUMMARY: MESSAGE TRANSMITS POSITION SUMMARY AND  
KEY POINTS TO BE USED BY DIRECTOR DEFENSE RESEARCH AND  
ENGINEERING (DDR AND E), DR. CURRIE, AND THE ASST SEC DEF  
INSTALLATIONS AND LOGISTICS (I AND L), MR. MENDOLIA  
AT THE CONFERENCE OF NATIONAL ARMAMENTS DIRECTORS (CNAD),  
APRIL 24-26, 1974, COMMENTS KEYED TO REFERENCE.  
END SUMMARY

I. FUTURE DIRECTION OF EFFORTS. YOU SHOULD NOTE REPORT  
OF CHAIRMAN ON ELEMENTS AFFECTING NATO COOPERATION  
AND COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 080011

ALLIANCE DEFENSE INDUSTRY AND TECHNOLOGY.

- YOU SHOULD COMPLIMENT DR. TUCKER FOR HIS FINE ANALYSIS OF THE NATO DEFENSE INDUSTRY'S WEAKNESSES, AND RECOMMEND THAT HIS PAPER BE REVIEWED PERIODICALLY BY THE CNAD TO FOCUS ATTENTION ON THIS CRITICAL MATTER.

- THE U.S. IN CONCERT WITH OTHER NATO MEMBERS HAVE STIMULATED AND ENCOURAGED INCREASED COOPERATION AND INTERDEPENDENCE IN R AND D. NOTE THAT NATIONAL INTERESTS WILL STILL REMAIN PARAMOUNT WITH EACH MEMBER NATION. HOWEVER, STANDARDIZATION AND MORE EFFECTIVE COOPERATION EFFORTS WILL BE SOUGHT AND ENCOURAGED AT EVERY OPPORTUNITY.

- DO NOT SUPPORT A STUDY GROUP, ESPECIALLY AN OPEN ENDED STUDY GROUP. THE SCOPES OF THE STUDIES PROPOSED ARE IMPRESSIVELY BROAD AND WE QUESTION HOW SUCH RESULTS CAN INFLUENCE NATO POLICY DECISIONS. IF THE MAJORITY OF CNAD FAVORS SUCH A STUDY GROUP, YOU SHOULD URGE THAT THE GROUP BE GIVEN ONE SPECIFIC PILOT TASK THAT IS LIMITED TO ONE AREA OF ALLIANCE INTEREST AND THE TENURE OF THE GROUP SHOULD BE LIMITED TO A PERIOD OF SIX MONTHS OR ONE YEAR AT THE MOST.

- THE SUBJECT DOES NOT WARRANT MINISTERIAL ATTENTION AT THIS TIME. HOWEVER, THIS IS AN IMPORTANT MATTER WHICH SHOULD BE REVIEWED BY THE NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL (NAC) FROM TIME TO TIME AS THE SECRETARY GENERAL OR THE COUNCIL MAY DEEM NECESSARY.

II. REVIEW OF SPECIFIC AREAS OF CONCERN.

(A) DECISIONS TAKEN AT LAST CNAD. NOTE STATEMENT AND COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 080011

(B) SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILES.

SHORT RANGE AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM (SHORADS): NOTE THAT U.S. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR DECISION ON EVENTUAL SELECTION OF SYSTEM FOR U.S. INVENTORY PROCEEDING REQUEST FOR INDUSTRY BIDS SHOULD BE PUBLISHED AT THE END OF APRIL AND SYSTEM SELECTION DUE LATE AUGUST EARLY SEPTEMBER. U.S. ARMY REPRESENTATION

TATIVE VISITING UK, FRANCE AND GERMANY TO INITIATE DISCUSSIONS ON COOPERATIVE TESTING OF CANDIDATE FOREIGN SYSTEMS. THE U.S. WILL ENGAGE IN COOPERATIVE TESTING OF THE FOREIGN SYSTEM SELECTED THROUGH THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.

SAM-D/MEDIUM SAM. SUPPORT EXPECTED GERMAN PROPOSAL THAT SAM-D BE ACCEPTED AS REPLACEMENT

FOR NIKE-HERCULES IN EARLY 1980'S. NEW MEDIUM SAM FOR AN INITIAL OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY (IOC) DATE OF APPROXIMATELY 1990 SHOULD BE STUDIED FROM THREE POINTS OF VIEW:

1. K/France BILATERAL STUDY.
2. IMPROVED "IMPROVED HAWK" STUDY BY HIP CONSORTIUM, LED BY FRANCE.
3. SIMPLIFIED SAM-D STUDY BY US/FRG.

(C) LIGHT AND MEDIUM INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS.

NOTE PRESENTATION BY BELGIUM AND COMMENT APPROPRIATELY. YOU MAY ADD THAT U.S. ARMY IS NOW REVIEWING ENTIRE AREA OF SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION. SECDEF HAS EXPRESSED PERSONAL INTEREST IN RESULTS OF ARMY WORK. U.S. WILL DECIDE ON A NEW STANDARD CALIBRE OF SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION ONLY AFTER COMPLETE CONSULTATION WITH NATO. U.S. DOES NOT HAVE UNDERWAY ANY CURRENT DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR A MEDIUM SUPPORT WEAPON TO REPLACE OUR M60 MACHINEGUN WHICH USES THE NATO STANDARD 7.62 MM AMMUNITION.  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 080011

(D) AIRBORNE EARLY WARNING (AWACS).

ORIGINAL PLAN WAS TO COMPLETE CNAD/SHAPE STUDY BY APRIL 1974 AND ELEVATE TO MINISTERS IN JUNE 1974. THIS HAS BEEN DELAYED ONE YEAR.

- REQUEST THAT CNAD/SHAPE DRAFT STUDY BE COMPLETED AND PRESENTED TO FALL 1974 CNAD MEETING.

- REQUEST THAT SHAPE PRODUCE THE ROC AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

- REQUEST THAT ALL NATO CNAD MEMBERS SEND REPRESENTATIVES TO THE AWACS SPECIAL TASK GROUP.

- REQUEST THAT CONTINGENCY FUNDING PLANS FOR AWACS BE IMPLEMENTED BY NATO.

- NOTE THAT U.S. IS WORKING ON THE MARITIME CAPABILITY OF AWACS AND PLANS TO MAKE AN ENGINEERING MODEL AVAILABLE TO NATO FOR FURTHER TESTING IN EUROPE AT THE END OF 1974.

- YOU MAY ALSO DRAW ON GUIDANCE IN STATE 079803.

(E) NIGHT VISION.

NOTE THE REPORT BY THE NETHERLANDS AND COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

- COMMENT IF DESIRED ON LESSONS LEARNED IN MIDDLE EAST RELATIVE TO IMPORTANCE OF NIGHT VISION.

### III. THE ACTIVITIES OF THE FIVE MAIN GROUPS.

NOTE REPORTS OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE MAIN GROUPS. COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

(A) FLEET CARGO SUBMARINES FOR THE TRANSPORT OF VITAL CARGO TO WAR AREAS.  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 080011

NOTE AND CONCUR WITH THE RECOMMENDATION THAT AGREEMENT TO THE CONCEPT BE SOUGHT BEFORE ANY FURTHER WORK OR FEASIBILITY STUDIES ARE STARTED.

(B) PRIORITY ITEMS OF COOPERATION PROPOSED BY THE MAIN ARMAMENTS GROUPS.

(1) TANK MAIN ARMAMENT EVALUATION.

TRIPARTITE AGREEMENT ON TANK GUN EVALUATION SIGNED BY FRG, U.K. AND U.S. AND AN INVITATION TO JOIN HAS BEEN EXTENDED TO FRANCE. PURPOSE OF TRIPARTITE EVALUATION PLAN IS TO SELECT A COMMON MAIN GUN FOR FUTURE MAIN BATTLE TANKS TO IMPROVE STANDARDIZATION IN NATO.

(2) WEAPONS FOR THE ATTACK OF AIRFIELDS AND SHELTERED AIRCRAFT.

NOTE STATEMENT BY UK. THIS STATEMENT WAS APPROVED AT THE FOUR POWER PRINCIPALS MEETING

IN LONDON ON APRIL 9. YOU SHOULD COMMENT AS APPROPRIATE.

(C) ANY OTHER MATTER REQUIRING THE ATTENTION OF CNAD

(1) ELECTRONIC WARFARE

UMS. PROPOSAL MADE AT OCTOBER 73 CNAD TO IMPROVE MAJOR DEFICIENCY IN NATO AIRBORNE EW.

NATO PRESENTLY HAS ONLY 600 EW EQUIPPED TACTICAL AIRCRAFT OUT OF 2800. U.S. CONTINUES POLICY TO ENCOURAGE RELEASE OF TECHNOLOGY AND APPROVE INDUSTRIAL LICENSES TO UPGRADE NATO EW CAPABILITY.

(2) TACTICAL EFFECTIVENESS TESTING ANTITANK MISSILE (TETAM) JOINT TESTING.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 080011

FOUR COUNTRIES, US/UK/FR/FRG HAVE PARTICIPATED IN TETAM WHICH HAS INVOLVED TWO-SIDED OPERATIONAL TESTING OF ANTI-TANK MISSILES VERSUS TANKS IN THE U.S. THESE TESTS, NOW COMPLETE, HAVE SUCCEEDED IN DEMONSTRATING THE CAPABILITY OF AVAILABLE ANTI-TANK WEAPONS AND DEVELOPING TACTICS FOR THEIR USE. THE TRIALS HAVE ALSO ENCOURAGED NATIONS TO LOOK TO JOINT DEVELOPMENT OF NEXT GENERATION ANTI-TANK MISSILES.

THE U.S. IS VERY INTERESTED IN STIMULATING AND EXPANDING TWO-SIDED TESTING IN OTHER AREAS OF WEAPONRY.

TO ASSIST NATO IN IMPLEMENTATION OF TWO-SIDED TESTING, THE U.S. WILL OFFER THE USE OF SELECTED NATIONAL TEST RANGES, FOR EXAMPLE, THE U.S. CONTINENTAL OPERATIONS RANGE AS WELL AS THE FULLY INSTRUMENTED CHINA LAKE RANGE.

IV. NATO INDUSTRIAL ADVISORY GROUP (NIAG)

- SUPPORT EARLY NIAG PARTICIPATION IN THE ARMA-MENTS GROUPS IN THOSE CASES WHERE THE NIAG (IN THE ESTIMATION OF THE CNAD AND THE MAIN GROUPS);

O PROVE HELPFUL WITH ADVICE AND ASSISTANCE

O ASSIST THE ARMAMENTS GROUPS IN THEIR  
ACTION TO DEVELOP OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS  
BY ASSISTING IN CLARIFICATION OF COSTED  
OPTIONS AS SEEN BY INDUSTRY.

V. NATO EXPLORATORY CONFERENCE ON PRODUCTION  
LOGISTICS (NECPL)

(A) REFER TO STATE 064833 FOR COMMENTS ON

NECPL STUDIES (E), (F), AND (G).  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 07 STATE 080011

(B) U.S. CNAD REP MENDOLIA IS PREPARED TO DISCUSS  
U.S. APPROACH TO INTEGRATED LOGISTICS SUPPORT  
(ILS) AT FORTHCOMING MEETING.

(C) REFER TO AC/259-LOG(74)D/2. WE CONCUR IN NAD  
REP RECOMMENDATION TO PUBLISH "GUIDANCE TO  
NATO PROCUREMENT AUTHORITIES", WHICH EVOLVED  
FROM NECPL STUDY (C).

RUSH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MEETING AGENDA, MILITARY AIRCRAFT, MEETING DELEGATIONS, MILITARY LOGISTICS, MISSILES, COLLECTIVE SECURITY AGREEMENTS, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, MILITARY PLANS, ARMS PRODUCTION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 19 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** WorrelSW  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974STATE080011  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** OSD/DDRE:COL.EDWARDS/EUR/RPM:EREHFELD  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740094-0860, D740093-0010  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740428/aaaaayxi.tel  
**Line Count:** 306  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 6  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** AC/259-A/13  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** WorrelSW  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 APR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 APR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <27 MAR 2003 by WorrelSW>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** GUIDANCE FOR CNAD MEETING, APRIL 24-26, 1974  
**TAGS:** MILI, NATO, CNAD, (MENDOLIA), (CURRIE)  
**To:** NATO  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005